

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,250	03/01/2002	Andreas Rutter	7587.186US01	4245	
23552	7590 07/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 290	T & GOULD PC		PATIDAR, JAY M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200/	DATE MAIL ED: 07/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(A)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/087,250	RUTTER ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jay M. Patidar	2862					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howeve ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	May 2004.						
• —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv prity documents hav au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National )).	I Stage				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date					
	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 🔿	ther:					

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

 This communication is in response to applicant's amendment received on May 11, 2004.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alff in view of Nachtigal et al. (US 6,559,633).

As to claims 6, Alff discloses a sealing (Figs. 1-4) device with a sensor 18 for a rolling bearing; the sensing device comprising: a sealing shield which is mounted between two races of the rolling bearing; an encoder 20 wheel which is arranged inside the rolling bearing between the two races and the shield; and a detecting sensor 18 comprising a detection surface which is arranged frontally in relation to the encoder wheel in a housing which is presented by the shield. Alff does not explicitly disclose an elastic support wall, which supports the sensor. Nachtigal is cited to show this feature. Nachtigal discloses a sealing speed sensor wherein Nachtigal teaches the sensor 30 being supported by the elastic

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

member 52 (Note fig. 1). Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Alff to have included elastic walls for the sensors as taught by Nachtigal to to reduce initial seal failure incidents, to enhance the life of the seal and to isolate vibrations.

Page 3

As to claim 4, Nachtigal discloses a continuous sealing lip e.g. 60 which is arranged in direct contact with a lateral surface e.g. near 22 of the senor and which presents a substantially conical conformation which arranged in such a way that its own top part is opposite the rolling bearing in relation to the shield (Fig. 1).

As to claim 5; Nachtigal discloses a support element 120, which present a rigidity, which is greater than a rigidity of said support wall 152, and which is arranged in contact with one of said two races (Fig. 3).

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Page 4

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ଏay M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

Email: <u>Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov</u>

Page 5

July 22, 2004